S.B.Civil Second Appeal No.398/2007
M/s. Singhvi Stones Pvt. Ltd
vs
Ajmer Vidhyut Vitran Nigam Lt & Anr.

Date of order : 26.10.2007

HON'BLE MR. PRAKASH TATIA, J.

Mr.Vikaram Purohit, for the appellant.
Mr.Ravi Bhansali]
Mr.Dhanesh Sarswat], for the respondents.

<><><>

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The suit for injunction filed by the appellant for restraining the defendant from recovering the amount of Rs.8,69,787.28/- for which the respondent issued a bill as provisional assessment bill for damages against the electricity consumption.

The trial court dismissed the suit of the plaintiff vide judgment and decree dated 27th Sept., 2007 and the appeal was dismissed by the appellate court on 8th Oct.,2007.

Learned counsel for the appellant submitted that the inspector who inspected the premises of the petitioner was not competent to inspect the premises as the Superintending Enigneer alone could have inspect the premises. In view of the above, the entire proceedings vitiated.

It appears from the detailed judgments of two courts below that two courts below have carefully

considered all the aspects of the matter and considered oral as well as documentary evidence in detail thereafter, reached to the conclusion that there was no illegality in the process adopted by the defendant.

No substantial question of law is involved in this appeal. Hence, the appeal of the appellant is dismissed.

(PRAKASH TATIA), J.

c.p.goyal/-